

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/750,903	KIRKBY ET AL.
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Hai V. Nguyen	2142
		ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Re	•		
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	YER IS LONGER, FROM THE M of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm I for reply is specified above, the maximum sta ply within the set or extended period for reply	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r unication.	reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	d on 10 July 2006.	
		(200) This action is non-final.	
•		for allowance except for formal mati	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	• •	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	
Disposition o			
	m(s) 21-36 is/are pending in the		
	· · <u> </u>	e withdrawn from consideration.	
	m(s) is/are allowed.		
·	m(s) <u>21-36</u> is/are rejected.		
	m(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claii	m(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application P	apers		
9) 🗌 The s	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
		a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
		tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	
			(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
		by the Examiner. Note the attached	
	r 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
_	-		
·		for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
. a)∏ All	/		
1.		documents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)			
1) 🛛 Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	5) 🛄 Notice of I 6) 🛄 Other:	nformal Patent Application
	,		<u> </u>

.

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the communication received on 10 July 2006.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 21-36 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, filed on 23 January 2006, with respect to the rejection(s)

of claim(s) 21, 29, 35 under 35 USC 103(a) rejections have been fully considered and

are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further

consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 21-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dziong U.S patent # 6,625,155 B1 in view of Varian, "Estimating the Demand For

Bandwidth".

7. As to claim 21, Dziong discloses a method of controlling admission of a traffic

flow to a communications network, the method comprising the steps of:

sampling an aggregated traffic flow on a network resource to which the traffic flow is to

be admitted to obtain a mean bandwidth measurement and a bandwidth variance

measurement of said aggregated traffic flow (*Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*);

However, Dziong does not explicitly disclose determining from said mean bandwidth and variance measurements a price for bandwidth and a separate price for variance. In the same field of endeavor, Varian discloses that determining from said mean bandwidth and variance measurements a price for bandwidth and a separate price for variance (*Varian, pages 1-3, table 1*).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking management art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Dziong's teachings of pricing-based quality of service controlling with the teachings of Varian, for the purpose of *estimating demand for different bandwidths as a function of the price vector (Varian, page 1).*

Dziong-Varian discloses sampling the traffic flow to be admitted to the network resource to measure its mean bandwidth and variance (*Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*); and

Dziong-Varian discloses applying to said traffic flow the separate prices for bandwidth and variance as a means of controlling admission of the traffic flow to the network resource (Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63).

8. As to claim 22, Dziong-Varian discloses, wherein the price for bandwidth is determined as a price for unit bandwidth and the price for variance is determined as a

price for unit variance (Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63).

9. As to claim 23, Dziong-Varian discloses, wherein a total price for admission of the traffic flow to the network resource is provided to an admission controller of said traffic flow, said total price comprising the sum of the following products: i) the measured mean bandwidth of the traffic flow times the price per unit bandwidth for using the network resource; and ii) the variance of the traffic flow times the price per unit variance for using the network resource (*Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*).

10. As to claim 24, Dziong-Varian discloses, wherein an admission controller associated with the traffic flow regulates at least one of the mean bandwidth and variance of said traffic flow (*Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*).

11. As to claim 25, Dziong-Varian discloses, wherein said admission controller comprises an ingress controller in an edge node of the communications network (*Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*).

12. As to claim 26, Dziong-Varian discloses wherein respective maximum control limits are defined for both the mean bandwidth and bandwidth variance components of the aggregated traffic flow on the network resource, and wherein at least one of said price for bandwidth and price for variance is increased as any of the mean bandwidth and variance measurements of said aggregated traffic flow approaches its respective

limit (Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63).

13. As to claim 27, Dziong-Varian discloses, wherein the determination of the bandwidth price is a function of the difference between the measured mean bandwidth of the aggregated traffic flow and the mean bandwidth control limit, and of the first and second derivatives against time of said function (*Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*).

14. As to claim 28, Dziong-Varian discloses, wherein the determination of the variance price is a function of the difference between the control limit and the sum of the measured variance of the aggregated traffic flow and a standard deviation corresponding to said variance, and of the first and second derivatives against time of said standard deviation (*Varian, pages 1-8, table 1; Dziong, Figs. 2-4, Abstract, col. 4, line22 col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 60; col. 7, 45 – col. 8, line 63*).

15. Claim 29 is corresponding an apparatus plus function claim of claim 21; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 21.

16. Claims 30-34 are similar limitations of claims 22-23, 26-28; therefore they are rejected under the same rationale as in claims 22-23, 26-28.

17. Claim 35 is corresponding an apparatus claim of claim 21; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 21.

18. Claim 36 is corresponding a computer readable medium claim of claim 21; therefore, it is rejected under the same rationale as in claim 21.

19. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an attachment to this action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3901. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hai V. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2142

THONG VU PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100